

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/567,736	MAILLARD, FREDERIC	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James R. Brittain	3677	

All Participants:

(1) James R. Brittain.

(2) Nicolas E. Seckel.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2007

Status of Application: Amended after non-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Mr. Seckel and we agreed to change the dependence of claim 12 so as to depend from claim 19 so that claim 12 would be of different scope from claim 6. We also agreed to make minor changes to the language of the abstract and specification so as to conform with Office practice..